FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 1 CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation 2 John T. Egley, Bar No. 232545 SAN BERNAROHIO DISTRICT Kevin P. Jackson, Bar No. 278169 610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 MAR 26 2018 3 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Chane Tarry 4 Tel: (949) 717-3000 Fax: (949) 717-3100 ANNE PERRY, DEPUTY 5 jegley@calljensen.com kjackson@calljensen.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant, Laboratory Express, Inc. dba Lab Express 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 MARIO BONELLO individually and on behalf of | 11 Case No. CIVDS1714584 all others similarly situated, 12 Assigned for All Purposes To: Plaintiff, Hon. David Cohn 13 Dept. S26 VS. 14 ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LABORATORY LABORATORY EXPRESS, INC., dba LAB EXPRESS, INC. TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST EXPRESS, a corporation; and DOES 1-10, 15 AMENDED COMPLAINT inclusive, 16 Defendants. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 17 18 Complaint Filed: August 1, 2017 Trial Date: None Set 19 20 21 Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba Lab Express ("Defendant") hereby answers the First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff Mario Bonello ("Plaintiff") as follows: 22 23 GENERAL DENIAL Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendant denies, generally and specifically, conjunctively and disjunctively, each and every allegation of the Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained and asserted therein. Defendant further denies that it is liable to 27 Plaintiff in any sum whatsoever. Defendant further denies that this action may be appropriately 28

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LABORATORY EXPRESS, INC. TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

_AB01-02:2156796_2:3-26-18

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

maintained as a class action and/or representative action. Defendant further denies, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount alleged, or in any sum, or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief at all, by reason of any wrongful act or omission or purported act or omission of Defendant.

SEPARATE DEFENSES

additional affirmative defenses to the Complaint, or to one or more of the purported causes of action

contained therein, may be available to Defendant. Such additional affirmative defenses, however,

require discovery before they can be properly alleged. Defendant will move to amend its Answer, if

necessary, to allege such affirmative defenses once they have been ascertained or according to proof at

that time. By alleging the defenses below, Defendant does not in any way concede that it has the

FIRST SEPARATE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Facts Sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action)

SECOND SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Failure to Exhaust Remedies)

action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's and/or the purported class

members' claims cannot be pursued due to the failure to satisfy administrative prerequisites.

fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant.

The Complaint and each purported cause of action asserted therein against Defendant

As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of

burden of proof or persuasion on any of these issues.

Defendant further alleges the following separate and independent affirmative defenses. Certain

ENSEN 25

27

28

AB01-02:2156796_2:3-26-18

2.

2

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LABORATORY EXPRESS, INC. TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

27

28

THIRD SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Failure to State Facts to Establish and/or Maintain Class or Representative Action)

As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each purported cause of action asserted therein against Defendant fails to allege facts sufficient to establish and/or maintain a class or representative action, including, but not limited to, the necessary prerequisites of numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy of representation.

FOURTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

4. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations, including, but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure sections 337(1), 338(a), 338(d), 339(1), 340(a), and/or 343, and California Business and Professions Code section 17208.

16

FIFTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Independent Contractor Relationship)

5. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff and the purported class members are parties to a valid and binding Independent Contractor Agreement, which thereby prevents the relief they seek pursuant to the California Labor Code, Wage Orders, or otherwise, all of which require Plaintiff and the purported class members to establish that an employment relationship existed between themselves and Defendant.

///

2

3

56

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

NSEN NSEN 25

27

28

AB01-02:2156796 2:3-26-18

SIXTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Waiver)

6. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred by the doctrine of waiver in that Plaintiff and the purported class members waived claims pleaded in the Complaint by, on information and belief, failing to abide by Defendant's scheduling policies and expectations as to their job duties, and failing to take rest breaks and meal periods that were authorized and provided by Defendant.

SEVENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

7. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's attempt to recover penalties and attorneys' fees is barred because Defendant's alleged failure to pay owed wages, if any, was the result of a good faith dispute as to whether such wages were due and owing.

EIGHTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(No Injury for Alleged Improper Wage Statements)

8. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims for improper wage statements are barred because Plaintiff has no legally cognizable injury as a result of any allegedly improper wage statements, nor has Plaintiff pleaded any such legally cognizable injury.

NINTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Failure to Exhaust Administrative Requirements Under PAGA)

9. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred in whole or in part because Plaintiffs failed to provide adequate notice and/or comply with administrative procedures mandated by Labor Code section 2699.3.

TENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(No Unfair or Fraudulent Conduct)

10. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint, Defendant alleges that Defendant cannot be liable for any violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. because its actions towards Plaintiff and the purported class members were not unfair, fraudulent, nor likely to mislead Plaintiff or the public. Defendant's conduct and dealings with Plaintiff and the purported class members were lawful and authorized by applicable state and federal statues, rules and regulations, and such actions, conduct, and dealings were carried out in good faith and for legitimate business purposes.

ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(No Injury Apart from Himself)

11. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's cause of action for unfair business practices fails as Plaintiff cannot articulate an injury separate and apart from an injury to himself.

TWELFTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Offset)

12. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to each purported cause of action against Defendant asserted therein, Defendant alleges that Defendant is entitled to offset any damages suffered by Plaintiff and/or the purported class members against sums owed by Plaintiff and the purported class members to Defendant as a result of the breaches of contract, torts, and other wrongful conduct by Plaintiff and the purported class members, including any unpaid employee advances.

///

AB01-02:2156796 2:3-26-18

4
1
1
-

ALL & SINSEN

• •

THIRTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Damages Not Caused by Defendant)

13. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is barred, in whole or in part, from asserting each and every purported cause of action alleged in the Complaint, or from recovering any damages thereunder from Defendant, because, to the extent Plaintiff and/or the purported class members have suffered damages as alleged in the Complaint, which Defendant denies, such damages were caused not by Defendant, but were instead caused by the acts and/or omissions of others and/or by circumstances for which Defendant is not legally responsible.

FOURTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Doctrine of Unclean Hands)

14. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands given Plaintiff's and any purported class members' own conduct of failing to follow Defendant's policies by, among other things, failing to take rest breaks or meal periods that drivers were empowered to take in their discretion based on their own preferences and/or failing to properly perform according to their job expectations as set forth in the contract.

FIFTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Ratification/Acquiescence By Plaintiff)

15. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each purported cause of action set forth therein is barred and/or recovery is limited or excluded because Plaintiff ratified, consented to, welcomed, and/or acquiesced in the acts upon which the Complaint is based.

1//

3

4

6

7 8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

ENSEN ENSEN 55

27

28

SIXTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Mitigation and/or Limitation of Damages)

16. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred insofar as Plaintiff and/or the purported class members failed to mitigate their damages, if any.

SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(De Minimis Doctrine)

17. As a separate and additional defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred to the extent that the *de minimis* doctrine applies to Plaintiff's and/or the purported class members' claims.

EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Not Entitled to Liquidated Damages)

18. As a separate and additional defense to the Complaint and to the claim for recovery of liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1194.2, Defendant alleges that, in the event that it is determined that Defendant is liable under California Labor Code Section 1194, Plaintiff and the putative class members are not entitled to recover liquidated damages because the acts or omissions giving rise to the action were in good faith and that Defendant had reasonable grounds for believing that the acts or omissions were not a violation of any provision of the Labor Code relating to minimum wage or an order of the Industrial Welfare Commission.

NINETEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Unmanageability)

19. Plaintiff's representative claim for penalties under Labor Code Section 2698 *et seq.* is barred as any such claim requires a showing of liability as to each alleged individual aggrieved employee, and thus, this individualized assessment makes the claim unmanageable.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

2122

23

ENSEN 25

27

28

TWENTIETH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(No Willfulness)

20. Plaintiff's attempt to recover waiting time penalties is barred because Defendant's alleged failure to pay owed wages, if any, was not intentional or willful.

TWENTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

21. Plaintiff's Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred because Plaintiff is estopped from asserting each of the claims alleged therein.

TWENTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Laches)

22. The Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred by the doctrine of laches in that Plaintiff unreasonably delayed in bringing this action and such delay caused damage to Defendant by including, among other things, the loss of witnesses, documents, and/or information due to the passage of time.

Certain additional separate defenses to the Complaint, or to one or more of the purported claims contained therein, may be available to Defendant. However, these additional affirmative defenses require discovery before they can be properly alleged. Defendant will move to amend its Answer, if necessary, to allege such affirmative defenses once they have been ascertained or according to proof at that time.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests the following relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by this action;
- 2. That judgment be awarded in favor of Defendant;

1	3. That Defendant be award	ded its costs of suit incurred herein, inclusive of reasonable
2	attorneys' fees according to law; and	
3	4. For such other and further	r relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
4		
5	Dated: March 26, 2018	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation
6		John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson
7		
8		By:
9		John T. Egley
10		Attorneys for Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba Lab Express
11		-
12		
13		
14	<u>DEM</u>	AND FOR JURY TRIAL
15	Answering Defendant asserts its	right to a trial by jury on all issues raised in the Complaint and
15 16	Answering Defendant asserts its in this Answer.	right to a trial by jury on all issues raised in the Complaint and
	in this Answer.	right to a trial by jury on all issues raised in the Complaint and
16		CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation
16 17	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN
16 17 18 19 20	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley
16 17 18 19 20 21	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson By: John T. Egley
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson By: John T. Egley Attorneys for Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 8 18 24 25	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson By: John T. Egley Attorneys for Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba
CATT (CATT) 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 26	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson By: John T. Egley Attorneys for Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 8 18 24 25	in this Answer.	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation John T. Egley Kevin P. Jackson By: John T. Egley Attorneys for Defendant Laboratory Express, Inc. dba

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE 3 I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700, Newport 4 Beach, CA 92660. 5 On March 26, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as ANSWER OF 6 DEFENDANT LABORATORY EXPRESS, INC. TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED **COMPLAINT** on the following person(s) in the manner indicated: 7 8 Samuel A. Wong **Attorneys for Plaintiff** Kashif Haque MARIO BONELLO 9 Jessica L. Campbell 10 Ali S. Carlsen AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC 11 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92618 12 Tel: (949) 379-6250 Fax: (949) 379-6251 13 14 15 (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) I am causing the document(s) to be served on the Filing User(s) through the Court's Electronic Filing System. 16 17 (BY MAIL) I am familiar with the practice of Call & Jensen for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Correspondence so collected and 18 processed is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. On this date, a copy of said document was placed in a sealed envelope, with postage fully 19 prepaid, addressed as set forth herein, and such envelope was placed for collection and mailing at Call & Jensen, Newport Beach, California, following ordinary business practices. 20 21 (BY FEDEX) I am familiar with the practice of Call & Jensen for collection and processing of correspondence for delivery by overnight courier. Correspondence so collected and processed is 22 deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by FedEx that same day in the ordinary course of business. On this date, a copy of said document was placed in a sealed envelope designated by 23 FedEx with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed as set forth herein, and such envelope was placed for delivery by FedEx at Call & Jensen, Newport Beach, California, following ordinary business practices. (BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION) On this date, at the time indicated on the transmittal sheet, attached hereto, I transmitted from a facsimile transmission machine, which telephone number is (949) 717-3100, the document described above and a copy of this declaration to the person, and at the

facsimile transmission telephone numbers, set forth herein. The above-described transmission was reported as complete and without error by a properly issued transmission report issued by the facsimile

27

28

transmission machine upon which the said transmission was made immediately following the transmission. and at the electronic notification address set forth herein. The electronic transmission was reported as complete and without error. California.

(BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION) I served electronically from the electronic notification the document described above and a copy of this declaration to the person

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 26, 2018, at Newport Beach,

rie Valles